



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/624,705

07/21/2003

Mark Ronald Plesko

3382-65536

7062

26119 7590 01/13/2009  
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN LLP  
121 S.W. SALMON STREET  
SUITE 1600  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

DAO, THUY CHAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2192

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/13/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/624,705	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PLESKO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thuy Dao	<b>Art Unit</b> 2192	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15-24,26,28,31-36,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15-24,26,28,31-36,38 and 39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/06/08</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on November 6, 2008 has been entered.

2. Claims 1, 3-13, 15-24, 26, 28, 31-36, 38 and 39 have been examined.

### Response to Amendments

3. In the instant amendment, claims 1, 20, 31, 36, 38 and 39 have been amended.

4. The objection to claims 38-39 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The examiner notes that although the previous references Gordon (US Patent No. 6,560,774) and Microsoft-IL ("Inside Microsoft .NET IL Assembler") have been still applied, the ground of rejection in the instant Office action is different with the ground of rejection in the prior Office action mailed July 28, 2008.

Regarding the newly amended limitations, Microsoft-IL explicitly teaches:

*the classifications of types comprises a primitive type associated with a primitive type size (e.g., chapter 7, pp. 1-2),  
wherein the primitive type size is settable to represent a constant size (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type sizes such as unsigned 2-byte integer, 4-byte floating-point to present constant sizes 2 bytes and/or 4 bytes),  
the primitive type size is set-table to represent a symbolic size (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type BOOLEAN has a symbolic size Byte, primitive type SByte has a symbolic size Byte, and primitive type Byte has a symbolic size Byte), and*

*the primitive type size is settable to represent an unknown size* (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type IntPtr has a unknown size, which is dependent on the underlying platform).

### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC §101**

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 20-24, 26, 28, 36, 38 and 39 are directed to a computer-readable medium, which may include communication medium (specification, page 17, lines 16-18 and page 18, lines 22-24).

A computer readable medium product is a tangible physical article or object, some form of matter, which a signal is not. That the other two product classes, machine and composition of matter, require physical matter is evidence that a manufacture was also intended to require physical matter. A signal, a form of energy, does not fall within either of the two definitions of manufacture. Thus, a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101 – see MPEP 2106

Under the principles of compact prosecution, claims 20-24, 26, 28, 36, 38 and 39 have been examined as the Examiner anticipates the claims will be amended to obviate these 35 USC § 101 issues. For example, - A computer-readable medium [[having]] storing a software program thereon,...- as disclosed in the specification, page 17, lines 16-17.

### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103**

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious

at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1, 3-13, 15-24, 26, 28 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon (art of record, US Patent No. 6,560,774) in view of Microsoft-IL (art of record, "Inside Microsoft .NET IL Assembler").

**Claim 1:**

*Gordon discloses a method of representing type information for a typed intermediate language (see at least Type Definitions col.16:58-col.17:51)*

*via objects of classes in a class hierarchy (see at least class hierarchies, subtype col.16:33-41),*

*wherein the class hierarchy comprises at least one class and a plurality of sub-classes for representing different type classifications (see at least class hierarchies, subtype col.16:33-41), the method comprising:*

*instantiating one or more objects of one or more of the sub-classes of the hierarchy (see at least class constructor, initialization col.12:32-34; object constructors col.14:25-57; object initialization col.15:32-34; FIG.12 & associated text),*

*wherein the one or more sub-classes represent classifications of types for the typed intermediate language (see at least Type Definitions col.16:58-col.17:51; 202 FIG.2 & associated text; 302 FIG.3 & associated text); and*

*storing information in the one or more objects wherein the one or more objects (see at least Field Definitions in Types, Method Definitions in Types col.17:43-col.18:10) wherein the typed intermediate language is capable of representing a plurality of different programming languages (see at least FIG.3 & associated text); and*

*wherein the one or more objects represent type information for instructions in the typed intermediate language (see at least FIG.18 & associated text).*

Gordon does not explicitly disclose *the classifications of types comprises a primitive type associated with a primitive size, and wherein the primitive type size is*

*settable to represent a constant size, the primitive type size is set-table to represent a symbolic size, and the primitive type size is settable to represent an unknown size.*

However, Microsoft-IL further discloses:

*the classifications of types comprises a primitive type associated with a primitive type size (e.g., chapter 7, pp. 1-2), and*

*wherein the primitive type size is settable to represent a constant size (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type sizes such as unsigned 2-byte integer, 4-byte floating-point to present constant sizes 2 bytes and/or 4 bytes)*

*the primitive type size is set-table to represent a symbolic size (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type BOOLEAN has a symbolic size Byte, primitive type SByte has a symbolic size Byte, and primitive type Byte has a symbolic size Byte), and*

*the primitive type size is settable to represent an unknown size (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type IntPtr has a unknown size, which is dependent on the underlying platform).*

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Microsoft-IL's teaching into Gordon's teaching. One would have been motivated to do so to define types for an intermediate language in the .NET Framework class library as suggested by Microsoft-IL (e.g., chapter 7, page 1).

### **Claim 3:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the objects comprises information for a size of a type represented by the object* (see at least FIG.24 & associated text).

### **Claim 4:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes inherits from an abstract type that wraps an externally defined type, the abstract type providing a mapping from the typed intermediate language to original source code* (see at least programs, objects,

components, data structures, abstract data types col.4:15-22; class, abstract, superclass col.17:9-14).

**Claim 5:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents container types* (see at least FIG.15 & associated text; FIG.26 & associated text).

**Claim 6:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents pointer types* (see at least FIG.11 & associated text; FIG.12 & associated text; *IL Instructions and Pointer Types* col.29:60-col.30:25).

**Claim 7:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents function types* (see at least FIG.22 & associated text; *Method Definitions in Types* col.17:52-col.18:10).

**Claim 8:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents unmanaged array types* (see at least FIG.26 & associated text; FIG.15 & associated text).

**Claim 9:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents class types* (see at least *Type Definitions, type, class* col.16:58-65).

**Claim 10:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents managed array types* (see at least FIG.26 & associated text; FIG.15 & associated text).

**Claim 11:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents struct types* (see at least FIG.26 & associated text; FIG.15 & associated text).

**Claim 12:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents interface types* (see at least *Type Definitions, interface* col.16:58-65).

**Claim 13:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents enumerated types* (see at least *Type Definitions, enumeration* col.16:58-65).

**Claim 14:**

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the one or more sub-classes represents primitive types* (see at least FIG.6 & associated text; FIG.27 & associated text).

**Claim 15:**

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the sub-classes representing primitive types represents the following types: int, float, and void* (see at least FIG.6 & associated text; FIG.27 & associated text).

**Claim 16:**



The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the sub-classes representing primitive types can represent an unknown type* (see at least *unboxed* col.30:25-45).

**Claim 17:**

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *wherein at least one of the sub-classes representing primitive types is extensible to represent one or more additional primitive types* (see at least FIG.6 & associated text; FIG.27 & associated text).

**Claim 18:**

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Microsoft-IL discloses *at least one of the one or more sub-classes is defined from the group consisting of: 'ContainerType', 'PtrType', 'FuncType', 'ClassType', 'StructType', 'InterfaceType', and 'EnumType'* (e.g., chapter 6, Interfaces and Enumerators; chapter 7, pp. 1-4, Table 7-1 and page 10).

**Claim 19:**

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *at least one of the one or more sub-classes is defined as 'PrimType'* (e.g., col.4: 15-22; col.17: 9-14).

**Claim 20:**

Claim 20 is a computer-readable medium version, which recites the same limitations as those of claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have been addressed and/or set forth above. Therefore, as the references teach all of the limitations of the above claim 1, they also teach all of the limitations of claim 20.

**Claim 21:**

The rejection of claim 20 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *the size represents a size of a machine representation of a value* (e.g., col.16: 58 – col.17: 51; col.12: 32-34).

**Claim 22:**

The rejection of claim 20 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *associating a size with instances of the 'PrimType' class comprises defining the size as 'BitSize'* (e.g., col.17: 42 – col.18: 10; FIG. 12 and associated text).

**Claim 23:**

The rejection of claim 20 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *the kind of type represents a type classification* (e.g., col.14: 25-57; col.16: 33-41).

**Claim 24:**

The rejection of claim 20 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *associating a kind of primitive type with instances of the 'PrimType' class comprises defining the kind of type as 'PrimTypekind'* (e.g., col.4: 15-22; FIG. 18 and associated text).

**Claim 26:**

The rejection of claim 20 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *associating a type of size with instances of the 'PrimType' class comprises defining the type of size as 'SizeKind'* (e.g., col.29: 60 – col.30: 25; col.17: 52 – col.18: 10).

**Claim 28:**

The rejection of claim 20 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *the class 'PrimType' represents a plurality of types, the plurality of types comprising int, float, unknown, void, condition code, and unsigned int types* (e.g., col.4: 15-22; col.17: 9-14; FIG. 15, FIG. 26 and associated text).

**Claim 31:**

Claim 31 is a method version, which recites the same limitations as those of claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have been addressed and/or set forth above. Therefore, as the references teach all of the limitations of the above claim 1, they also teach all of the limitations of claim 31.

**Claim 32:**

The rejection of claim 31 is incorporated. Microsoft-IL discloses *defining a plurality of classes hierarchically below the class representing container types, wherein the plurality of classes represent type information for the typed intermediate language, and wherein the plurality of classes represent at least class types, struct types, interface types, and enumerated types of a plurality of programming languages* (e.g., chapter 7, pp. 1-4, Table 7-1 and 7-6).

**Claim 33:**

The rejection of claim 32 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *defining a class hierarchically below the class representing class types, wherein the class represents type information for the typed intermediate language, and wherein the class represents unmanaged array types of a plurality of programming languages* (e.g., col.29: 60 – col.30: 25; col.17: 52 – col.18: 10).

**Claim 34:**

The rejection of claim 31 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *defining a class hierarchically below one of the plurality of classes, wherein the class represents type information for the typed intermediate language* (e.g., col.16: 58-65; FIG. 15 and associated text).

**Claim 35:**

The rejection of claim 34 is incorporated. Gordon discloses *the class further represents an unknown type* (e.g., col.30: 25-45; FIG. 6, FIG. 27 and associated text).

10. Claims 36, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft-IL in view of Syme (art of record, US Patent No. 7,346,901).

**Claim 36:**

Microsoft-IL discloses *a computer-readable medium having a software program thereon, the program comprising computer executable instructions for implementing a method for representing type information for a typed intermediate language using a class hierarchy for representing different type classifications, the method comprising:*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'PtrType', wherein an object of class 'PtrType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for pointer types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, integer pointer type and unsigned integer pointer type; pp. 3-4, data pointer types);*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'FuncType', wherein an object of class 'FuncType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for function types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., pp. 4-5, function pointer types which point to functions);*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'ClassType', wherein an object of class 'ClassType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for class types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., pp. 5-6, vectors and arrays are class instances derived from the abstract class [mscorlib]System.Array written in one of a plurality of programming languages);*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'StructType', wherein an object of class 'StructType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for struct types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., page 10, Struct);*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'InterfaceType', wherein an object of class 'InterfaceType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for interface types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., chapter 6, Namespaces and Classes > Interfaces);*  
*and*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'EnumType', wherein an object of class 'EnumType' is a type representation for the typed*

*intermediate language for enumerated types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., chapter 6, Namespaces and Classes > Enumerators); and*

*defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'PrimType', wherein an object of class 'PrimType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for primitive types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages; wherein the object of class 'PrimType' is associated with a size settable to represent a constant size, settable to represent a symbolic size, and settable to represent an unknown size (e.g., chapter 7, Primitive Types and Signatures > Primitive Types in the Common Language Runtime);*

*the object of class 'PrimType' is associated with a size settable to represent a constant size for the object of class 'PrimType' (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type sizes such as unsigned 2-byte integer, 4-byte floating-point to present constant sizes),*

*set-table to represent a symbolic size for the object of class 'PrimType' (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type BOOLEAN has a symbolic size Byte, primitive type SByte has a symbolic size Byte, and primitive type Byte has a symbolic size Byte), and*

*settable to represent an unknown size for the object of class 'PrimType' (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1, primitive type IntPtr has a unknown size, which is dependent on the underlying platform).*

Microsoft-IL does not explicitly disclose *defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'ContainerType', wherein an object of class 'ContainerType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for container types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages.*

However, in an analogous art, Syme further discloses *defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'ContainerType', wherein an object of class 'ContainerType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for*

*container types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages (e.g., col.10: 27-67).*

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Syme's teaching into Microsoft-IL's teaching. One would have been motivated to do so to contain generic code in the container class for efficient execution (e.g., col.10: 37-43; col.1: 6-9; col.2: 4-17).

**Claim 38:**

The rejection of claim 36 is incorporated. Microsoft-IL discloses *further comprises program code for associating a size with an object of any class* (e.g., chapter 7, page 2, Table 7-1).

**Claim 39:**

The rejection of claim 36 is incorporated. Microsoft-IL discloses *further comprises program code for associating a kind of type with an object of any class* (e.g., pp. 9-11, Table 7-6).

**Conclusion**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Thuy Dao (Twee), whose telephone/fax numbers are (571) 272 8570 and (571) 273 8570, respectively. The examiner can normally be reached on every Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 6:00AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam, can be reached at (571) 272 3695.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272 2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Thuy Dao/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2192

/Tuan Q. Dam/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192